



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/489,793	01/24/2000	David K. McKnight	CA9-99-017	6281
25259	7590	05/03/2007	EXAMINER	
IBM CORPORATION			BASHORE, WILLIAM L	
3039 CORNWALLIS RD.				
DEPT. T81 / B503, PO BOX 12195			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
REASEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, NC 27709			2176	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/03/2007	ELÉCTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

RSWIPLAW@us.ibm.com

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/489,793	MCKNIGHT ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	William L. Bashore	2176

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** *pending*

(1) William L. Bashore (USPTO) (3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Robert A. Voigt, Jr. (512) 370-2832 (4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 27 April 2007

**Time:** 1:00pm EST

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 U.S.C. 101

Claims discussed:

*Improper multiple dependent claims as per Remand by Board of Appeals, mailed 2/27/2007. Also claims related to possible 35 U.S.C. 101 rejections as per new rules 11/22/2005 (also referenced by said Remand).*

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

*William L. Bashore*  
**WILLIAM BASHORE**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

In order to expedite prosecution of the instant case, the examiner suggests and encourages Appellant to file an After Final amendment to correct improper multiple dependent claims as referenced by Board ordered Remand, as well as amending the independent product claims, so as to obviate any 35 U.S.C. 101 rejections under current guidelines. Appellant indicated he will consider these requests.

*William L. Bashore*  
WILLIAM BASHORE  
PRIMARY EXAMINER